

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/683,559	10/10/2003	Patrick T. Mather	UCON-166.1 98121.00083 7909	
23413 7:	590 08/09/2006		EXAMINER	
CANTOR COLBURN, LLP			PEZZUTO, HELEN LEE	
55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2200			1713	
			DATE MAILED: 08/09/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/683,559	MATHER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Helen L. Pezzuto	1713		

The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	ddress
THE REPLY FILED 02 August 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITIO	ON FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or this application, applicant must timely file one of the for places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complia time periods:	lowing replies: (1) an amendr Notice of Appeal (with appeal	ment, affidavit, or other evid fee) in compliance with 37	lence, which CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the ma	iling date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expired.			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPER	P 706.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dahave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office to may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704 NOTICE OF APPEAL	extension and the corresponding ne shortened statutory period for ater than three months after the n	amount of the fee. The approrection appropriately ariginally set in the final C	opriate extension fee Office action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in co filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any example a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. 	tension thereof (37 CFR 41.3	37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	nths of the date of the appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection	n. but prior to the date of filing	a brief, will not be entered	l because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE b	elow);	,	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifyir	ng the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling	a corresponding number of f	nally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR	I.116 and 41.33(a)).		
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1 	.121. See attached Notice of	Non-Compliant Amendmen	nt (PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be non-allowable claim(s). 			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): how the new or amended claims would be rejected is p. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	a) ⊠ will not be entered, or t rovided below or appended.	o)	n explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13,15,22-24 and 32</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of fili entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed t showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necess	o overcome all rejections und	er appeal and/or appellant	fails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explana REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered	but does NOT place the appl	ication in condition for allov	vance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s	s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449)	Paper No(s). IDS filed on 8	3/2/06.
13.		Heler L. Pezzuto Primary Examine Art Unit: 1713	<u> </u>

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed "wherein the polycyclooctene is synthesized by ring-opening metathesis polymerization" in claim 1 raise new issues that would necessitate new search and consideration at this stage of the prosecution.